

Message

---

**From:** Newhouse, Kathleen [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5F019C8179304045ACD61BC05B571FB0-NEWHOUSE, KATHLEEN]  
**Sent:** 5/7/2014 4:35:37 PM  
**To:** Cooper, Glinda [Cooper.Glinda@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** FW: BaP- Perera 2012-clarifications  
**Attachments:** Perera 2012 text.docx; Perera 2012.pdf

This is me poking you!

---

**From:** Newhouse, Kathleen  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 24, 2014 6:34 PM  
**To:** Cooper, Glinda  
**Cc:** Kraft, Andrew; Strong, Jamie; Gehlhaus, Martin  
**Subject:** BaP- Perera 2012-clarifications

Hi Glinda,

I wanted to see if you could take another look at your(?) write up for the recent Perera et al (2012) study which looked at behavioral outcomes associated with BaP-adducts in children exposed to PAHs. I don't believe ACC had written comments on this issue, but Nancy Beck stood up at the December meeting to talk about this human study which she implied contradicted what the observations of decreased anxiety like behavior in the animal studies. Andrew Kraft has some comments on it, and it might be good to clarify the findings of the study since it has come under increased scrutiny.

I've attached the paragraph write up of the study, its evidence table entry, and the original study.

Hopefully you might have a bit of time to look at this.

Thanks,

Kathleen